



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/236,939	01/25/99	GODOWSKI	P085461P4

STEVEN B. KELBERTZ  
LONG ALDRIDGE & NORMAN  
701 PENNSYLVANIA AVE.  
STE. 600  
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

HM22/0323

EXAMINER
ULM, J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1646	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/236,939

Applicant(s)

Godowski

Examiner

John Ulm

Group Art Unit

1646

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John Ulm

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Amy Miller

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Mar 21, 2000Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☒ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: all pending

Identification of prior art discussed:

Di Marco et al., Sanchez et al., and Gilardi-Hebenstreit et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant was advised of several claim amendments which would readily avoid the pending rejections under 1122/first and second paragraph. Proposed claims based upon encoded protein would be allowable if the Di Marco et al. publication were removed by declaration.


(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

  
JOHN ULM  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1646